



TRAUMATIC L4 –L5 SPONDYLOLISTHESIS WITH VERTICAL SHEAR FRACTURE OF PELVIS: A CASE REPORT

DR. ANIL KUMAR. S. V ¹, DR. VINOD KUMAR MAKANNAVAR ²,
DR. SAMARTH ARYA*³ AND DR. MANOHAR. P. V⁴

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, R.L.Jalappa Hospital and Research Centre, Tamaka, Kolar

² Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, R.L.Jalappa Hospital and Research Centre, Tamaka, Kolar

³ Jr. Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, R.L.Jalappa Hospital and Research Centre, Tamaka, Kolar

⁴ Professor & Head of the Department, Department of Orthopaedics, R.L.Jalappa Hospital and Research Centre, Tamaka, Kolar

ABSTRACT

We report a case of traumatic listhesis of L4 over L5 vertebra with vertical shear fracture of pelvis in a 45 year old male. The patient was treated surgically immediately after the injury. His radiological and operative findings showed anterior listhesis of L4 over L5 vertebra with dislocation of bilateral facet joints at L3-L4 and L4-L5 level and fracture of spinous processes of L4-L5 vertebra with fracture of right lamina of L4 vertebra. There was also evidence of diastasis of pubic symphysis with displaced comminuted fracture of right iliac bone. Surgically he was treated by decompression and reduction, L3-L5 pedicular screw fixation along with L3 to L4 laminectomy, L3-L4 and L4-L5 discectomy and Reconstruction plating for pubic diastasis and right iliac bone fracture.

KEYWORDS: Traumatic spondylolisthesis, Pubic Diastasis, Vertical Shear fracture, Comminuted displaced fracture of right iliac bone, pedicular screw fixation, laminectomy, Reconstruction plating



DR. SAMARTH ARYA

Jr. Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, R.L.Jalappa Hospital and Research Centre, Tamaka, Kolar

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Traumatic spondylolisthesis is an uncommon entity reported in the literature. Watson-Jones described the first case in 1940 and about hundred cases reported since then¹. All reported cases are traumatic lumbosacral dislocations, which represents a dislocation on L5–S1 level. Diastasis of the pubic symphyseal joint has been reported to occur in 13 - 16% of pelvic ring injuries and it typically follows a very high velocity force with predominant external rotatory vector trying to split open one or both the hemipelvis. These injuries have also been associated with various other situations like pregnancy, inflammatory arthritis following long-term corticosteroid intake, horse riding injuries etc. and carry high rates of complications and mortalities²⁻⁴. Fractures of the ilium occur infrequently due to direct trauma²². They usually are the result of a force to the lateral aspect of the pelvis. The iliac wing fracture usually arises from the sacroiliac joint, or just anterior to it, and exits through the iliac crest. This injury pattern results in a hemipelvis that is unstable to rotational stress. Several methods of stabilizing these fractures have been reported in the literature²³⁻²⁶. For the best of our knowledge, we present a unique case of L4–L5 traumatic anterolisthesis with pelvic fracture and discuss the surgical management.

CASE REPORT

A 45 year old man was driving a tractor that over turned and fell on his back. Patient had severe pain in his back and was unable to move both his lower limbs. He was taken to a nearby hospital where first aid was given. Plain radiography showed anterior listhesis of L4 over L5 vertebra with pubic diastasis and comminuted displaced fracture of right iliac bone. He was referred to our institute for further management. On neurological examination, paraplegia was noticed. Abdomen was soft and there was no evidence of bladder or urethral injury. Additional preoperative computed tomography and plain radiography images showed anterior listhesis of L4 over L5 vertebra with dislocation of bilateral facet joints at L3-L4 and L4-L5 level and fracture of spinous processes of L4-L5 vertebra with fracture of right lamina of L4 vertebra. There was also evidence of diastasis of pubic symphysis with displaced comminuted fracture of right iliac bone (Fig. 1 and 2). Ultrasonography of the abdomen did not show any abdominal organ injury.




Figure. 1




Figure. 2

Surgical technique

He underwent surgery for pelvic fracture the next day. Patient was placed in supine position and Pfannensteil incision was made. Careful soft tissue dissection was done and the pubic diastasis was identified. It was fixed with two reconstruction plates anteriorly and superiorly.

Incision was closed in layers and the patient was turned to left lateral position. Curved postero-lateral incision was taken along the iliac crest. Careful soft tissue dissection was done and iliac bone was fixed with two reconstruction plates (Fig. 3). The incision was closed in layers. Pedicular screw fixation was done two

days later. Decompression and reduction, L3-L5 pedicular screw fixation using six pedicular

screws and two rods, L3 to L4 laminectomy and L3-L4 and L4-L5 discectomy was done(Fig. 3).




Figure. 3

Post-operative Management

The patient was maintained on post-operative prophylactic intravenous antibiotics for the initial 24 hours. For the first 15 days, he was on absolute bed rest. Static and dynamic exercises for hip, knee and ankle were started on the bed. Patient was mobilised after 30 days with a soft lumbosacral corset and a walker. He initially complained of low back pain, numbness and weakness in both lower extremities by ambulating which gradually reduced. Patient now has a motor power of 4/5 in L2-S1 on right side and motor power of 3/5 in L2-S1 on the left side.

DISCUSSION

Spondylolisthesis was classified by Wiltse et al. into dysplastic, isthmic, degenerative, pathologic and traumatic types⁵. Acute traumatic type is very rare and should be distinguished from acute isthmic spondylolisthesis, in which acute pars interarticularis fracture occurs probably due to a predisposed spondylolysis. Different treatment modalities are proposed to treat the dislocation. Although there are some reports of successful conservative treatment, open decompression and to a certain site reduction with internal segmental fixation and fusion are the most accepted treatment modalities^{6, 7}. There is no any neurological worsened case after the

operation; they remained same or improved clinically at the reported cases. In the event of a traumatic disruption of the disc material, it should be excised for decompression, preferably with interbody fusion. Interbody fusion allows a higher degree of stability and fusion rate. The anterior support reduces the risk of implant failure⁸. It may be performed anteriorly, especially if the disc height is needed to be restored, otherwise it may be done posteriorly. In grade 2 or more, listhesis reduction should be achieved before interbody placements. If the disc material is intact, especially with ligamentous structures, at grade 1 or 2 spondylolisthesis, the necessity of the interbody fusion may be controversial, but it is mandatory to search neural canal and bilateral foraminal compression due to a disc protrusion especially after reduction. Fracture dislocation of L5-S1 is a rare and severe lesion of the lumbosacral junction usually secondary to violent trauma of the lumbosacral area. Many physiopathologic hypotheses have been proposed concerning the mechanism. Watson-Jones pointed hyperextension stress as an efficient traumatic vector in the first reported case of lumbosacral dislocation¹. Roaf suggested that hyperflexion axial rotation and compression forces were responsible for anterior lumbosacral dislocation⁹. Most of the authors considered that the main mechanism responsible for anterior or anterolateral

lumbosacral dislocation is hyperflexion with compression^{7,9}. There are also reports of direct traumatic vectors that act as a tangential force applied to the L5–S1 apophyseal joints and cause the dislocation to occur and also hyperextension with compression and anterior translation is reported^{6,9}. Interestingly in our case, there was no single transverse process fracture. Transverse process fracture is mostly attributed as a sign of the severity of the trauma and is thought to be a warning finding for physician to search for traumatic spondylolisthesis^{6,9,10}. Facet dislocations occur frequently in the cervical region, less frequently in the upper thoracic region and rare in the lumbar region. Their more common occurrence in the cervical and thoracic spine is caused by the relatively coronal orientation of the facet joints in these regions. An exaggerated flexion is the mechanism of the injury in bilateral facet dislocation and a flexion moment combined with a rotational component most commonly results in unilateral facet dislocation. Also hyperextension type injury if combined with an axial load may result in facet fracture, laminar fracture may accompany to that kind of injury. In the lumbar region, the facet joints are able to slide past each other during extension, thus minimizing the chance for facet fracture by this mechanism¹¹. In the lumbar region, the facet joints are oriented in a sagittal plane and hence their ability to resist flexion or translation is minimal, whereas their ability to resist rotation is substantial. The nearly coronal facet orientation at L5–S1 is a factor in the relatively decreased incidence of subluxation in the presence of intact facet joints; that is, in degenerative spondylolisthesis, subluxation is more common at L4–L5 than at L5–S1 despite the relative vertical orientation of the L5–S1 disc interspace¹². The coronal facet orientation of L5–S1 and lumbosacral joint angle explains the reason why traumatic spondylolisthesis occurs mostly on L5–S1 level. It may be speculated that the weakness of the tip of this patient's inferior articular process is the reason of L4–L5 traumatic spondylolisthesis. We believe that traumatic spondylolisthesis with laminar fracture may probably be caused by an extension and axial load combination type injury, however, without laminar fracture hyperflexion type injury is the most likely cause, asymmetric lesions includes rotational component. But its occurrence mechanism in each particular case

will be challenging to be exactly defined as both type of injury patterns with their subtypes, may cause to similar radiological findings. Olson has described stable pelvis injury as one that withstands the physiological forces incurred with protected weight bearing or bed to chair mobilization without abnormal deformation of the pelvis, until bony or soft tissue healing occurs¹³. The unstable pelvic fractures are fraught with a number of complications and demand timely interventions including adequate resuscitation and appropriate, stable fixation to ameliorate the morbidity and mortality associated with these injuries¹⁴. Pelvic fractures predominantly involve the young male population and typically follow high energy road traffic accidents. As already emphasised, the earliest interventions that can save lives in these situations are resuscitation and control and management of haemorrhage¹⁵. The importance of the radiological investigations especially CT scan in the surgical planning cannot be understated, although resuscitation and patient stabilisation must take precedence over these diagnostic procedures. Although the surgical management of the antero-posterior/lateral compression injuries has not been straight-forward¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and fraught with a number of controversies, there is a general consensus on the need for adequate surgical fixation and stabilisation when the symphyseal gap exceeds 2.5 cm. Early non-invasive stabilisation using a pelvic binder or pelvic sling to provide circumferential compression, or emergent, mini-invasive, compression techniques using the external fixators or C-Clamp (Ganz et al) may be necessary to arrest life threatening bleeding. The ideal management is, however, provided by stable, internal fixation only. There again, the controversy arises on the adequacy of single symphyseal plating, the need for double symphyseal plates, the ideal placement site of the plates (superior or anterior symphyseal surfaces), the types of plates used (reconstruction or low contact dynamic compression plates), the situations that need additional posterior pelvic stabilization, and so on. Although approach to the pubic symphysis using Pfannenstiel incision is well-established and universally employed, a few authors have suggested the feasibility of minimally invasive techniques with indirect reduction and percutaneous fixation using multiple screws¹⁹.

²¹. Multiple forms of symphyseal plate fixations have been tried. Single, anteriorly placed symphyseal plate provides a greater resistance to external rotation forces than superiorly placed plates in antero-posterior compression injuries and is biomechanically, a more rigid fixation⁴. The symphyseal doubleplate fixation (combination of anterior and superior symphyseal plates) provides the most rigid fixation of all; however, the procedure requires considerable dissection, expertise and time and may be associated with significant blood loss. Bladder/urethral injuries are also known rare surgical complications that occur during operative fixation of the symphyseal diastasis following inadvertent invasion of the viscus by inexperienced surgeons. Many authors have described open methods of stabilizing the iliac wing fracture

seen with fracture– dislocations of the sacroiliac joint²³⁻¹⁷. Anterior and posterior approaches to the sacroiliac region have been advocated. Recommended methods of fixation range from plates and screws to threaded sacral bars. Open reduction techniques can yield excellent results²⁶. Practically every author who has discussed this fracture pattern has reported good results.

CONCLUSION

Decompression, reduction with L3-L5 pedicular screw fixation, L3-L4 and L4-L5 disc excision and laminectomy for traumatic anterior listhesis of lumbar vertebra and reconstruction plating for pubic diastasis and iliac bone fracture is a very good method of fixation.

REFERENCES

1. Watson-Jones R. Fractures and joint injuries, 1st edn, Williams & Wilkins: Baltimore, 641 (1940).
2. Worland RL, Keim HA. Displaced fractures of the major pelvis: a method of management. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 112: 215-7 (1975).
3. Dommisse GF. Diametric fractures of the pelvis. J Bone Joint Surg Br, 42: 432-43 (1960).
4. Tile M. Pelvic ring fractures: should they be fixed?. J Bone Joint Surg Br, 70: 1-12 (1988).
5. Wiltse LL, Newman PH, Macnab I. Classification of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis. Clin Orthop, 117: 23-29 (1976).
6. Reinhold M, Knop C, Blauth M. Acute traumatic L5-S1 spondylolisthesis: a case report. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg, 126: 624-630 (2006).
7. Tsirikos AI, Saifuddin A, Noordeen MH, Tucker SK. Traumatic lumbosacral dislocation. Spine, 29: e164-e168 (2004).
8. Lamn M, Henriksen S-EH, Eiskjær S. Acute traumatic L5-S1 spondylolisthesis. J Spinal Disord Tech, 16: 524-527 (2003).
9. Saiki K, Hirabayashi S, Sakai H, Inokuchi K. Traumatic anterior lumbosacral dislocation caused by hyperextension mechanism in preexisting L5 spondylolysis a case report and a review of literature. J Spinal Disord Tech, 19: 455-462 (2006).
10. Ahmed A, Mahesh BH, Shamsheer PK, Jayaswal A. Traumatic retrolisthesis of the L4 vertebra. J Trauma, 58: 393-394 (2005).
11. Benzel EC. Biomechanics of spine stabilization, 1st edn. Thieme, Illinois: 61-89 (2001).
12. Benzel EC. Biomechanics of spine stabilization, 1st edn. Thieme, Illinois: 1-17 (2001).
13. Phieffer LS, Lundberg WP, Templeman DC. Instability of the posterior pelvic ring associated with disruption of the pubic symphysis. Orthop Clin North Am, 35(4): 445-9 (2004).
14. Evers BM, Cryer HM, Miller FB. Pelvic fracture hemorrhage. Priorities in management. Arch Surg, 124(4): 422-4 (1989).
15. McMurtry R, Walton D, Dickinson D, Kellam J, Tile M. Pelvic disruption in the polytraumatized patient: a management protocol. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 151: 22-30 (1980).
16. Tsukahara S, Momohara S, Ikari K, Murakoshi K, Mochizuki T, Kawamura K, Kobayashi S, Nishimoto K, Okamoto H, Tomatsu T. Disturbances of the symphysis pubis in rheumatoid arthritis: report of two cases. Mod Rheumatol, 17(4): 344-7 (2007).

17. Rommens PM: Internal fixation in postpartum symphysis pubis rupture: report of three cases. *J Orthop Trauma*, 11(4): 273-6 (1997).
18. Mulhall KJ, Khan Y, Ahmed A, O'Farrell D, Burke TE, Moloney M. Diastasis of the pubic symphysis peculiar to horse riders: modern aspects of pelvic pommel injuries. *Br J Sports Med*, 36(1):74-5 (2002).
19. Mu WD, Wang H, Zhou DS, Yu LZ, Jia TH, Li LX. Computer navigated percutaneous screw fixation for traumatic pubic symphysis diastasis of unstable pelvic ring injuries. *Chin Med J (Engl)*, 122(14): 1699-703 (2009).
20. Routt ML Jr, Nork SE, Mills WJ: Percutaneous fixation of pelvic ring disruptions. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 375: 15-29 (2000).
21. Guo XS, Chi YL: Percutaneous fixation of pelvic ring disruptions. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi*, 44(4): 260-3 (2006).
22. Burgess AR, Eastridge BJ, Young JW, et al. Pelvic ring disruptions: effective classification system and treatment protocols. *J Trauma*, 30: 848-56 (1990).
23. Borrelli J, Koval KJ, Helfet DL. The crescent fracture: a posterior fracture dislocation of the sacroiliac joint. *J Orthop Trauma*, 10: 165-170 (1996).
24. Burgess AR, Tile M. Fractures of the pelvis. Part I: The pelvic ring. In: Rockwood CA, Green DP, Bucholz RW, eds. *Rockwood and Green's Fractures in Adults*. New York, J.B. Lippincott:1399-1438 (1991).
25. Lange RH, Webb LX, Mayo KA. Efficacy of the anterior approach for fixation of sacroiliac dislocations and fracture dislocations. *J Orthop Trauma*, 4: 220-221 (1990).
26. Matta JM, Tornetta P. Internal fixation of unstable pelvic ring injuries. *Clin Orthop*, 329: 129-140 (1996).
27. Shaw JA, Eng M, Mino DE, et al. Posterior stabilization of pelvic fractures by use of threaded compression rods. *Clin Orthop*, 192: 240-254 (1985).